



Załącznik nr 6 do uchwały Rady NCN nr 52/2018 z dnia 7 czerwca 2018 r.

SHENG 1 – Polish-Chinese Funding Initiative

Call for proposals 2018

EVALUATION SHEET FOR NCN REVIEWERS

I. BASIC RESEARCH

Does the application meet the criteria of basic research¹? YES/NO

If NO, please explain (500 characters min.)

II. RESEARCH PROJECT (50%)

How do you judge the academic merits of the research project?

Please assess the innovative nature of the project, the impact of the research project on advances in the academic discipline and international cooperation, the scientific level of the objectives and work programme, the integrated approach of the project, risk management and the added value of bilateral cooperation. (*Please evaluate all the relevant sections of the Joint Project Description*).

Answer

- 4 – outstanding
- 3 – very good
- 2 – good
- 1 – moderate
- 0 – poor

Justification (1000 characters min.) – please comment on all the relevant sections of the Joint Project Description listed above.

III. APPLICANTS (30%)

(Principal Investigators and Co-Investigators)

How do you judge the qualifications of the research team?

Please assess the team members' expertise to conduct the proposed research project, their research portfolio, their preliminary work and previous international cooperation.

Answer

- 4 – outstanding
- 3 – very good
- 2 – good
- 1 – moderate
- 0 – poor

Justification (1000 characters min.) – please review Principal Investigators and Co-investigators.

¹ Basic research is defined as experimental or theoretical endeavours undertaken primarily to gain new knowledge of the foundations of phenomena and observable facts, without concern for direct commercial use (art. 2 point 3a of the act of 30th April 2010 on the principles of funding science, Journal of Laws of 2018, item 87).



IV. FEASIBILITY AND FINANCE (20%)

How do you judge the feasibility of the project? Please assess whether the project is **fully feasible** (e.g. by the composition of the research teams, research facilities, infrastructure, the presented model of project management) or whether the project is **partially feasible** (i.e. it presents some key weaknesses as regards the composition of research teams or the development/availability of the research facilities, infrastructure and proposed model of project management) or the project is characterised as being poorly **feasible**.

Assessment of feasibility

- 2 – full feasibility of the project
- 1 – weak feasibility of the project
- 0 – poor feasibility of the project

Assessment of costs

- 2 – the cost estimate is justified
- 1 – the cost estimate is partly justified
- 0 – the cost estimate is not justified

Justification (300 characters min.) – please comment on the project's feasibility and the appropriateness of the requested funds.

V. STRENGTHS AND WEAKNESSES OF THE PROPOSAL

Summary (500 characters min.)