国家自然科学基金项目同行评议意见表

项目/课题名称:
项目类别：
亚类说明：
附注说明：
申请者姓名：
依托单位：
申请代码：
申请金额：（万元）

Familiarity with the subject area of this proposal (您对申请内容是否熟悉):	
☐A. High Level of Expertise ☐B. Medium Level of Expertise ☐C. Not Sufficient Knowledge	
Key Evaluation Criteria（请对每项指标进行评价，并单选其中之一）:	
1. Technical and Scientific Quality of the Proposal	☐A. Excellent ☐B. Good ☐C. Moderate ☐D. Poor
2. Project Management, Methodology, Work plan, Milestones, etc	☐A. Excellent ☐B. Good ☐C. Moderate ☐D. Poor
3. Quality of the Consortium	☐A. Excellent ☐B. Good ☐C. Moderate ☐D. Poor
4. Mobilization of Resources	☐A. Excellent ☐B. Good ☐C. Moderate ☐D. Poor
Overall Assessment（请对项目进行综合评价，并单选其中之一）:	
☐A. Excellent ☐B. Good ☐C. Moderate ☐D. Poor	

Funding Suggestion（请提出资助建议，并单选其中之一）:
☐A. Recommended for funding ☐B. Fundable ☐C. Not Fundable
Evaluation Comments（请用英文撰写至少 100 字具体评价意见）:

The following questions can be used as guidance for your assessment:

1. **Technical and scientific quality of the proposal**
   - Does the proposal contribute to scientific excellent and significant progress towards the state of the art?
   - Are the objectives of the proposal appropriate?
   - Are the technological bottlenecks addressed?
   - Is the proposal innovative and ambitious?
2. **Project management, methodology, work plan, milestones, etc.**
   - Is the proposal positioning well described with respect to the state of the art?
   - Is the project scientifically and technologically feasible? Are the methods proposed sound?
   - Is the proposal structured with clearly identified and adequate milestones and deliverables?
   - Is the coordination plan adequate? (experience, financial and legal management)
   - Is the coordinator sufficiently involved?
   - Is there a strategy for the valorisation of the project results?

3. **Quality of the consortium**
    - Is the scientific level or the expertise of the team excellent?
    - Are the partners able to complete the projects? (experience, technical skills, environment)
    - Is the partnership appropriate with regards to the scientific and technical objectives?
    - Are there synergies and complementarities between the partners?
    - Is the coordinator able to lead the project?
    - Are the environment and the resources (especially the manpower) implemented by each partner adequate with regards to the specific needs of the project?
    - When you take into account each partner's career, how do you rate the quality of the scientific outcomes?
    - Is the project bringing new collaborations?
4. **Mobilisation of resources**
    - Is the schedule realistic?
    - Are the resources adequate to the project?
    - Is the requested funding well justified and adequate?
    - Are the coordination costs adequate?
    - Are the manpower resources well justified?
    - Are the non-permanent manpower resources (trainees, PhD students, post-docs) well justified?
    - Are the requested investments and equipment purchases well justified and relevant?
    - Is the financial part (travel budget, subcontracting, consumables…) well justified and adequate?
5. **Overall Assessment**
    - What is the added value of the international cooperation?

- Are the scientific and financial contributions of the partners from each country well-balanced?
- What is the impact with regards to the potential of knowledge increase or to the importance of the targeted results?
- To what extent will the project results be used or integrated by the scientific or industrial community, or by society? What is the impact in terms of acquisition of know-how?
- Regarding databases (if relevant): what is the level of storage durability and of data accessibility to the whole scientific community?