

PRINCIPLES OF EVALUATING PROPOSALS SUBMITTED UNDER THE ETIUDA CALL

▪ **Has the proposal been written with all due diligence?**¹

- yes
- no

in the case of “no”, please justify

▪ **Is the research programme of a scientific nature?**¹

- yes
- no

in the case of “no”, please justify

▪ **Does the project meet the criteria of basic research?**²¹

- yes
- no

in the case of “no”, please justify

▪ **Does the project meet other eligibility criteria outlined in the call for proposals?**¹

- yes
- no

in the case of “no”, please justify

FIRST STAGE OF PROJECT EVALUATION

A. EVALUATION OF RESEARCH QUALITY (WEIGHTING 30%)

▪ **Level of expertise of the research:**

- 4** Excellent. The project results are likely to be published in academic press/journals of the highest academic rank
- 3** Very good. The project results are likely to be published in mainstream academic press/journals for a given field
- 2** Good, the project results are likely to be published in international specialist academic press/journals
- 1** Average. The project results are likely to be published in minor academic press/journals
- 0** Poor

Justification:

B. TRACK RECORD OF THE APPLICANT (WEIGHTING 40%)

▪ **Track record of the applicant, including publications in renowned academic press/journals:**

- 4** Distinguished
- 3** Very good
- 2** Good
- 1** Poor
- 0** No achievements

¹ The criterion is not subject to evaluation by the external experts.

² Pursuant to Article 4 (2) (1) of the Act on Higher Education and Science of 20 July 2018, basic research means empirical or theoretical research work undertaken primarily to acquire new knowledge of the underlying foundations of phenomena and observable facts, without any direct commercial use

- **Awards related to research, scholarships and prizes as well as research experience gained in Poland and abroad, scientific workshops and training courses, participation in research projects:**
- 4 Outstanding (fellowships in leading foreign institutions, prestigious international prizes and awards, workshops or training courses in leading research institutions, participation in international or foreign projects)
- 3 Significant (fellowships in good domestic and foreign institutions, awards, workshops or training courses, participation in research)
- 2 Average (awards, prizes, workshops or training courses, participation in research projects)
- 1 Poor
- 0 None

Justification:

C. JUSTIFICATION OF THE CHOICE OF HOST INSTITUTION FOR THE FOREIGN FELLOWSHIP (WEIGHTING 30%)

- **Academic rank of foreign research institution hosting the foreign fellowship of the applicant:**
- 5 Outstanding research institution, i.e. one of world's leading institutions in its field
- 4 Very good research institution, i.e. internationally acknowledged in its field
- 3 Good research institution, i.e. internationally recognised in its field
- 2 Average research institution, i.e. domestically recognised in its field
- 1 Poor research institution
- 0 Research institution with no achievements
- **Appropriate choice of the research institution:**
- 1 Well chosen
- 0 Poorly chosen
- **Impact of the fellowship on the development of the applicant's career in research:**
- 2 The fellowship will have a significant impact on the development of the applicant's career in research by increasing the importance of publications, developing cooperation and participating in research projects
- 1 The fellowship will have an impact on the development of the applicant's career in research
- 0 The fellowship will have no impact on the development of the applicant's career in research

Justification:

Strengths of the proposal:

Weaknesses of the proposal:

SECOND STAGE OF PROJECT EVALUATION

- **Interview with the applicant**

Following the interview, the expert team decides on the recommendation for the proposal:

- A** Proposal recommended for funding
- B** Proposal recommended for funding as second choice
- C** Proposal not recommended for funding

Prof. Dr hab. Małgorzata Kossowska
Chairwoman of the Council of the
National Science Centre

The English version of this Resolution does not constitute a sworn translation and has been prepared as an auxiliary document for your convenience. In the event of any doubts as to the interpretation of its provisions, the Polish version shall prevail.